

EXECUTIVE SUB-COMMITTEE FOR PROPERTY

Date:	Wednesday 19th November, 2025
Time:	12.00 pm
Venue:	Mandela Room, Municipal Buildings

AGENDA

1. Apologies for Absence
To receive any apologies for absence.
2. Declarations of Interest
To receive any declarations of interest.
3. Members Small Scheme Allocations 3 - 10
4. Any other urgent items which in the opinion of the Chair, may be considered.

Charlotte Benjamin
Director of Legal and Governance Services

Town Hall
Middlesbrough
Tuesday 11 November 2025

MEMBERSHIP

Mayor C Cooke - Elected Mayor, I Blades, T Furness, L Henman, J Rostron, J Ryles, P Storey and N Walker

Assistance in accessing information

Should you have any queries on accessing the Agenda and associated information please contact Scott Bonner, 01642 729708, Scott_Bonner@middlesbrough.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

MIDDLESBROUGH COUNCIL	
------------------------------	--

Report of:	Director Environment and Community Services - Geoff Field
Relevant Executive Member:	The Executive Member for Finance - Cllr Nicky Walker
Submitted to:	Executive Sub-Committee for Property
Date:	19 November 2025
Title:	Members Small Scheme Allocations
Report for:	Decision
Status:	Public
Council Plan priority:	A successful and ambitious town
Key decision:	No
Why:	Decision does not reach the threshold to be a key decision
Subject to call in?	Yes
Why:	The decision is not urgent

Proposed decision(s)	
That the Executive Sub-Committee for property approves the eligible Members Small Scheme project allocations, following applications from Members, and assessment by Council Officers:	
• APPROVES the allocation of funding to deliver the approved projects.	

Executive summary
The Members Small Schemes allocation was agreed by Executive to the value of £150,000 for the 2025/26 financial year, funded from the resources set aside for this as part of the approved Council Capital Programme. This allocation allows Members to apply for grant funding up to the value of £15,000 to make improvements within their ward. Match funding from service areas could be sought where the project cost exceeded the £15,000 limit, to further enhance the value of proposed projects. Applications were received and assessed by Council Officers to identify the suitability, affordability and deliverability of the proposals. The tables 1 and 2 in appendix 1 shows the applications that were received.

Following Officer assessment, it was identified that two of the projects could not be delivered. These projects and rationale are identified within table 2 in appendix 1.

This decision will allow the projects to be designed (where appropriate) and scheduled for implementation at the earliest opportunity, in line with budget allocation. The total value of funding requested from the Members Small Scheme allocation was £143,400.

The proposed schemes approved by Officers is £113,400. There is a request for £99,050 for proposed scheme match funding, with £89,050 for the proposed approved schemes.

The implications of the recommendation have been considered by the appropriate Council Officers and are set out in the main body of the report.

1. Purpose of this report and its contribution to the achievement of the Council Plan ambitions

1.1 The report aims to gain approval to allocate funding to make local environmental improvements submitted by Members. The improvements aim to enhance the local areas for all the relevant community, improving accessibility and local environment.

1.2 The applications that were made can be found in in appendix 1.

1.3 The Small Schemes Allocation will allow elected Members to enhance their local areas and further their work as community champions which actively contributes to the Council's ambitions as detailed in the Council Plan 2024- 2027.

Our ambitions	Summary of how this report will support delivery of these ambitions and the underpinning aims
A successful and ambitious town	<i>The Members Small Scheme allocations provide funding for members to make localised improvements within their wards. This improves accessibility and attractiveness to the local area.</i>
A healthy Place	<i>The proposals with the report will make improvements that encourage physical activity, by improving road safety and creating play areas. They will also contribute to local environmental improvements.</i>
Safe and resilient communities	<i>The proposals will improve Road Safety through installation of traffic calming and pedestrian crossing points.</i>
Delivering best value	<i>The proposals are gaining match funding from service areas to deliver improvements that are mutually beneficial for local and organisational goals.</i>

2. Recommendations

2.1 That the Sub Committee for property approves the eligible Members Small Scheme project allocations, following applications from Members, and assessment by Council Officers:

- APPROVES the allocation of funding to deliver the approved projects.

3. Rationale for the recommended decision(s)

3.1 The recommendation allows a suitable use of the Members Small Scheme grant, and empowers Councillors to make real changes to their wards.

3.2 The approved schemes ensure that the overall budget has not been exceeded.

4. Background and relevant information

4.1 The Members Small Schemes allocation was agreed by Executive to the value of £150,000 for the 2025/26 financial year, funded from the resources set aside for this as

part of the approved Council Capital Programme. This allocation allows Members to apply for grant funding to deliver environmental improvements within their ward up to the value of £15,000.

- 4.2 Match funding from relevant Service Areas could be sought where the project cost exceeded the £15,000 limit, to further enhance the value of proposed projects. This had to be discussed with the relevant service area in advance of submission.
- 4.3 Members were sent details of the application process and types of schemes that could be eligible. A workshop was made available to all Members to attend to discuss the process, and raise any queries as required.
- 4.4 One application per ward was permitted, meaning that each ward Member needed to liaise, as to their collective priority. Eleven applications were made/processed to the grant funding opportunity: covering the wards of Acklam, Ayresome, Berwick Hills and Pallister, Coulby Newham, Hemlington, Kader, Marton East, Marton West, Newport, Nunthorpe and Trimdon.
- 4.5 Applications were received and assessed by Officers to identify the suitability, affordability and deliverability of the proposals. The tables in appendix 1 shows the applications that were received.
- 4.6 Following the Officer assessment, it was identified that two of the projects could not be delivered. These two projects and rationale are identified within table 2 in appendix 1.
- 4.7 It is recommended that the applications assessed by Officers are approved, and those that are not, rejected.

5. Ward Member Engagement if relevant and appropriate

- 5.1 All Ward Members were provided the opportunity to make an application to the Members Small scheme allocation. Details of the process were communicated with all Members, and a workshop that could be attended to raise any queries.

6. Other potential alternative(s) and why these have not been recommended

- 6.1 Do nothing – this is not recommended as the proposals have been assessed by Officers for suitability. Those that were not suitable have been omitted, and the value of those proposed to proceed are within the available funding allocation.
- 6.2 Approve all schemes – this is not recommended as two of the schemes are not deliverable (rationale of these schemes can be found in appendix 1).

7. Impact(s) of the recommended decision(s)

Topic	Impact
Financial (including procurement and Social Value)	The proposed schemes totalling £113,400 are funded from the Members Small Schemes budget within the approved Council Capital Programme. Where match funding has

	been requested, this has been approved by the relevant service area. It should be noted that all expenditure on the approved projects may not be fully spent in 2025/26 and some expenditure may be incurred in 2026/27. Any unspent amounts of the funding available each year for Members Small Schemes in the Capital Programme will be carried forward to future years.
Legal	There are no legal implications surrounding the approval of the schemes. Any legal implications surrounding individual projects will be addressed as part of scheme development and delivery.
Risk	The proposed scheme allocations are within the grant funding allocation approved by Executive. There are no risks associated with the approval of the schemes. Any risks associated with individual projects will be assessed as part of scheme development and delivery.
Human Rights, Public Sector Equality Duty and Community Cohesion	The proposed schemes are based around Environmental improvements. This will not impact upon Human Rights, Equality duty or community cohesion as they are accessible for everyone to utilise.
Reducing Poverty	The schemes proposed will improve the local environment for all.
Climate Change / Environmental	The schemes proposed will improve the local environment for all.
Children and Young People Cared for by the Authority and Care Leavers	The schemes proposed will improve the local environment for all.
Data Protection	There are no data protection implications associated with approving the funding for environmental improvements. Any data protection issues surrounding the implementation of the proposals will be addressed as part of scheme development and delivery.

Actions to be taken to implement the recommended decision(s)

Action	Responsible Officer	Deadline
Approved projects delivered	Chris Orr	31/3/2026

Appendices

1	Table of applications received
---	--------------------------------

2	
3	

Background papers

Body	Report title	Date
n/a		

Contact: Chris Orr

Email: chris_orr@middlesbrough.gov.uk

Table 1. Supported schemes

Applicant(s)	Ward	Scheme description	Location	Scheme type	Value requested (£k)	Scheme total cost (indicative) (£k)	Match funding value requested (£k)	Match funding service area	Match funding confirmed from service area?	Approval recommended?
Dorothy Davison and Jason McConnell	Marton East	Traffic Calming	Alan Peacock Way	Highway improvement	15	40	25	Highways	Yes	Yes
David Branson	Coulby Newham	Tiger Crossing	Bonny Grove Way	Highway improvement	12	40	28	Highways	Yes	Yes
Janet Thompson and Jackie Young	Ayresome	Footpath connection	Kingsbridge Crescent	Highway improvement	15	25	10	Middlesbrough priority	n/a	Yes
Luke Henman	Acklam	Zebra Crossing	Glendale Road	Highway improvement	15	40	25	Highways	yes	Yes
Tom Mohan & Nicky Walker	Hemlington	Park Refurb	Hemlington Play Areas	Environment improvement	15	16.05	1.05	Environment	Yes	Yes
Sharon and Jim Platt	Kader	Speed Signs	Low Lane	Highway improvement	6.5	6.5	0	Highways	n/a	Yes
Ian Morish	Marton West	CCTV	Bonny Grove Park	Environment improvement	5	5	0		n/a	Yes
John Kabuye, Jill Ewan, Anne Romaine	Newport	Speed signs and litter bins	Stockton Road & Longford Street	Highway improvement / Environment improvement	14.9	14.9	0	Highways	n/a	Yes
Dennis McCabe	Trimdon	Traffic Calming	Trimdon Avenue	Highway improvement	15	15	0	Highways	n/a	Yes
Total					113.4	202.45	89.05			

Table 2. Schemes not supported

Applicant(s)	Ward	Scheme description	Location	Scheme type	Value requested (£k)	Scheme total cost (indicative) (£k)	Match funding value requested (£k)	Match funding service area	Match funding confirmed from service area?	Approval recommended?
Julia Cooke, Donna Jones, Ian Blades	Berwick Hills and Pallister	ANPR Cameras	Ingraham, Overdale & Crossfell Road	Highway improvement	15	15	0	Highways	n/a	No
Meika Smiles and Morgan McClintock	Nunthorpe	Ped crossing	Cotcliffe Way	Highway improvement	15	25	10	Middlesbrough priority fund / Highways	Yes	No
Total					30	40	10			
Total for schemes submitted (supported and not supported)					143.4	242.45	99.05			

Rationale
Scheme fit for purpose
Scheme fit for purpose
Scheme fit for purpose
Scheme fit for purpose
Scheme fit for purpose
Scheme fit for purpose
Scheme fit for purpose
Scheme fit for purpose
Scheme fit for purpose
Scheme fit for purpose

Rationale
ANPR cameras are not appropriate for Council use. This will require further investigation to comply with data protection
Scheme is not within Council adopted highway, so cannot proceed